Copper- and Phosphine-Free Sonogashira Coupling Reaction Catalyzed by Polyurea-Encapsulated Palladium(II)

by Yun-Yan Kuang and Fen-Er Chen*

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, P. R. China $(fax: +86(21)65643811; e-mail: rfehen@fudan.edu.cn)$

A polyurea-encapsulated palladium catalyst (Pd EnCatTM 30) was first applied to Sonogashira crosscoupling reactions in the absence of a copper salt co-catalyst and under phosphine-free conditions. This polymer-anchored homogeneous palladium catalyst efficiently catalyzed the Sonogashira reaction of various iodoarenes with terminal alkynes in $H_2O/MeCN$, and good yields were obtained at 40° after 3– 7 h in the presence of piperidine. Moreover, this catalyst maintains its efficiency through three recycle runs.

Introduction. – The Sonogashira cross-coupling reaction between aryl halides and terminal alkynes, which provides a powerful tool for the formation of arylalkynes, has been widely applied in natural-product synthesis and material science [1a-e] for a recent review of the Sonogashira coupling, see [1f]. The most commonly used catalytic systems for this transformation are $[Pd(PPh₃)₄]$, $[PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂]$, or $PdCl₂/PPh₃$ and a copper salt as co-catalyst [2]. However, the phosphines in palladium complexes are often air-sensitive, and the presence of a copper salt can result in the in situ formation of some copper (I) acetylides that can induce *Glaser*-type homocoupling reactions of alkynes readily [3]. To avoid this, copper- and phosphine-free Sonogashira reactions have been developed in recent years resulting in excellent chemoselectivity [4]. Although these examples contributed to the improvement of the Sonogashira coupling reaction, the use of homogeneous palladium-complex catalysts makes the separation and the recovery of the catalysts tedious and might result in unacceptable palladium contamination of the products. A way to overcome these drawbacks would be the use of a heterogeneous palladium catalyst. Recently, our attention was attracted by a series of commercially available encapsulated Pd^H catalysts which have been widely utilized in catalyzing C-C bond-forming processes such as carbonylations and Suzuki-, Heck-, and *Stille*-type coupling reactions in both conventional and supercritical $CO₂$ solvent systems in the absence of phosphine ligands [5]. Investigations on the Pd^{II}/polyurea microcapsules (Pd EnCatTM) revealed that they are air-stable, highly active, and recoverable catalysts withstanding high temperatures and vigorous stirring. Taking these facts into consideration, we were convinced that Pd EnCatTM would promote the Sonogashira coupling in the absence of copper salts and phosphine ligands. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no full report on the Sonogashira reaction catalyzed by Pd $Encat^{TM}$. In this paper, we describe a mild protocol for the copper- and phosphine-free Sonogashira coupling of iodoarenes with terminal alkynes in H_2O MeCN in the presence of polyurea-encapsulated $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ catalyst $(Pd Encat^{\text{TM}}30)$.

© 2009 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Results and Discussion. – In recent years, the development of Pd-catalyzed Sonogashira reactions in H₂O has aroused much interest due to economical and environmental reasons [6]. In connection with the results of microcapsule swelling behavior and palladium-leaching experiments for Pd $EnCat^{TM}$ 30 in different organic solvents [7], we initially chose the coupling of iodobenzene and ethynylbenzene in aqueous solution as the model reaction to determine the optimum reaction conditions. The coupling was carried out with 1 mol-% of Pd $EnCat^{TM}$ 30 as catalyst in the presence of a base in different solvent mixtures. As seen in Table 1, the best yield of the target product was obtained in the solvent $H_2O/MeCN$ 1:1 (*Entry 5*). Having selected H_2O / MeCN 1:1 as the optimal solvent, we investigated the effect of various bases on the coupling reaction (*Entries 7–14*). The reaction proceeded well when organic bases were used, and the best result was obtained in the case of piperidine (*Entry 14*). Moreover, the *Sonogashira* reaction was faster when the temperature was increased (*Entries 15 – 18*); however, the GC/MS analysis demonstrated that the polyurea matrix tended to be degraded at high temperature, which might lead to many coupling byproducts formed by the leaching of catalyst $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ (*Entry 18*). Thus, it is appropriate that the reaction is conducted at 40° .

	+					
			base, solvent			
Entry	Solvent $(v/v, 1:1)$	Base	Temp. $\lceil \degree \rceil$	Time $[h]$	Yield $[%]$ ^b)	
1	H ₂ O/Me ₂ CO	piperidine	60	3	72	
2	H ₂ O/E _t OH	piperidine	60	3	39	
3	$H2O/i-ProH$	piperidine	60	3	53	
$\overline{4}$	H ₂ O/dioxane	piperidine	60	3	52	
5	H ₂ O/MeCN	piperidine	60	3	89	
6	H ₂ O/toluene	piperidine	60	3	46	
7	H ₂ O/MeCN	NaOH	60	7	5	
8	H ₂ O/MeCN	KOH	60	7	Ω	
9	H ₂ O/MeCN	Na_2CO_3	60	3	15	
10	H ₂ O/MeCN	K_2CO_3	60	3	10	
11	H ₂ O/MeCN	Et ₃ N	60	3	63	
12	H ₂ O/MeCN	Bu_3N	60	3	27	
13	H ₂ O/MeCN	i -Pr ₂ NH	60	3	48	
14	H ₂ O/MeCN	piperidine	60	3	90	
15	H ₂ O/MeCN	piperidine	20	20	51	
16	H ₂ O/MeCN	piperidine	40	4	89	
17	H ₂ O/MeCN	piperidine	60	3	85	
18	H ₂ O/MeCN	piperidine	80	1.5	40	

Table 1. Effect of Solvent, Base, and Temperature on the Sonogashira Reaction^a)

^a) Reaction conditions: iodobenzene (1 mmol), ethynylbenzene (1.2 mmol), base (2 mmol). ^b) GC/MS Yield based on the amount of iodobenzene used.

We next investigated the copper- and phosphine-free reactions of a variety of iodoarenes with three alkynes catalyzed by polyurea-encapsulated $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ in aqueous media. As summarized in Table 2, the optimized catalyst system (see Table 1) is quite general and tolerant of a range of functionalities. For the electron-deficient iodobenzenes (*Table 2, Entries 7–12*), the coupling reactions were completed within 4 h, and the other iodoarenes with electron-donating groups such as a 4-MeO group required longer reaction times. The coupling reaction of iodoheteroarenes, $e.g., 2$ iodothiophene, with terminal alkynes also proceeded smoothly under the same condition affording the corresponding coupling products in good yields (*Entries 13* – 15). However, when the less reactive bromobenzene was used in the catalytic system, the desired product was obtained in poor yield after 24 h (*Entry 16*). This is consistent with the reported results on the *Sonogashira* coupling reaction of bromoarenes with terminal alkynes which was usually only well performed by Pd-catalyst in the presence of phosphine ligands or copper salts as co-catalysts [8]. The recycling studies with the polyurea-encapsulated catalyst showed that the Pd $EnCat^{TM}$ 30, recovered by a simple filtration, kept its catalytic activity in the coupling reaction of iodobenzene and ethynylbenzene for three recycle runs under the optimal reaction condition (Table 3).

Conclusions. – In summary, we explored the copper- and phosphine-free Sonogashira coupling of iodoarenes with terminal alkynes in H₂O/MeCN in the presence of a polyurea-encapsulated $[Pd(OAc)_2]$ catalyst ($Pd EnCat^{TM}$ 30) for the first time. It is a practical, economical, and environmentally benign system for the formation of alkynylarenes.

Experimental Part

General. Reagents and chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. TLC: silica gel GF_{254} plates. M.p.: WRB-1B digital melting-point apparatus. ¹H-NMR Spectra: *Bruker-Avance-400* spectrometer; CDCl₃ soln.; chemical shifts δ in ppm and coupling constants J in Hz. MS: Agilent-6890N network GC system/Agilent-5975 mass selective detector.

Sonogashira Reaction of Iodoarenes: General Procedure. Pd $Encat^{TM}$ 30 (25 mg, 1 mol-% of Pd, 0.4 mmol of Pd/g) was suspended in a mixture of piperidine (0.17 g, 2 mmol) and distilled H₂O/MeCN 1 : 1 (4 ml). Then the iodoarene (1.0 mmol) and the alkyne (1.2 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred at 40° for a certain period of time at 1 atm. The resulting mixture was filtered and washed with distilled H₂O (6×4 ml) and AcOEt (6×4 ml). The org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated and the final product isolated by $CC (SiO₂)$, petroleum ether/AcOEt) and identified by MS and $H-NMR$.

1,1'-(Ethyne-1,2-diyl)bis[benzene]. White solid. M.p. $61-61.7^{\circ}$ ([4b]: 60°). ¹H-NMR: 7.53 (dd, J = 4.0, 8.0, 4 H_o); 7.34 (dd, J = 4.0, 8.0, 4 H_m); 7.33 (d, J = 4.0, 2 H_n). EI-MS: 178 (100, M⁺).

1-(2-Phenylethynyl)cyclohexanol. Colorless needles. M.p. $58-59^{\circ}$ ([9a]: $59-60^{\circ}$). ¹H-NMR: 7.44 – 7.41 $(m, 2H_0)$; 7.30 $(dd, J=4.0, 8.0, 2H_m)$; 7.29 $(d, J=4.0, H_0)$; 2.07 – 1.99 $(m, 2H)$; 1.76 – 1.31 $(m,$ 8 H). EI-MS: 200 (40, M^+), 157 (100), 144 (25), 129 (50), 115 (30).

Hex-1-yn-1-ylbenzene. Pale-yellow oil. ¹H-NMR: 7.41 – 7.38 $(m, 2 H_o)$; 7.29 $(dd, J = 4.0, 8.0, 2 H_m)$; 7.27 $(d, J = 4.0, H_p)$; 2.43 $(t, J = 8.0, CH_2C\equiv C)$; 1.64 – 1.58 (m, CH_2) ; 1.54 – 1.48 (m, CH_2) ; 0.98 $(t, J = 8.0, CH_2)$ Me). EI-MS: $158 (40, M⁺)$, $143 (75)$, $129 (80)$, $115 (100)$.

1-Methoxy-4-(2-phenylethynyl)benzene. White power. M.p. $57.3-58.1^{\circ}$ ([9b]: $57-58^{\circ}$). ¹H-NMR: 7.48 $(d, J = 6.0, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.46 $(d, J = 2.0, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.34 – 7.32 $(m, 3 \text{ arom. H})$; 6.87 $(d, J = 6.0, 2 \text{ arom. H})$ H); 3.83 (s, MeO). EI-MS: 208 (100, M^+), 193 (40), 165 (30).

 $1-I2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethynyl/cyclohexanol. Pale-yellow oil. ¹H-NMR: 7.40 (d, J = 8.0, 2 atom. H);$ 6.82 (d, $J = 8.0$, 2 arom. H); 3.80 (s, MeO); 2.00 – 1.92 (m, 2 H); 1.70 – 1.30 (m, 8 H). EI-MS: 230 (50, M^+), 201 (25), 187 (100), 159 (30), 55 (25).

 $1-(Hex-1-yn-1-yl)-4-methoxybenzene.$ Colorless oil. $H\text{-NMR}: 7.32$ $(d, J=8.0, 2 \text{ arom. H}); 6.80$ $(d, J=8.0, 2 \text{ gram. H});$ $J = 8.0, 2$ arom. H); 3.80 (s, MeO); 2.38 (t, $J = 8.0, \text{CH}_2$); 1.61 – 1.54 (m, CH₂); 1.52 – 1.44 (m, CH₂); 0.94 (t, $J = 8.0$, Me). EI-MS: 188 (80, M⁺), 145 (100).

	R ¹		Pd EnCat™ 30 (1 mol-%) R ¹		R^2
		R^2	piperidine, H ₂ O/MeCN 1:1 40°		
Entry	$Ar-I$	Alkyne	Product	Time $[h]$	Yield $[%]^{b}$
\boldsymbol{l}	PhI	ethynylbenzene		$\overline{4}$	90
2	PhI	1-ethynylcyclohexanol	HO	5	85
3	PhI	hex-1-yne		5	88
$\overline{4}$	$4-MeO-C_6H_4-I$ ethynylbenzene		MeO	6	85
5		$4-MeO-C6H4-I$ 1-ethynylcyclohexanol	но MeO	7	80
6	$4-MeO-C_6H_4-I$ hex-1-yne		MeO	7	81
7	$4-NO_2-C_6H_4-I$	ethynylbenzene	O_2N	3	99
8	$4-NO_2-C_6H_4-I$	1-ethynylcyclohexanol	HC O_2N	4	95
9	$4-NO_2-C_6H_4-I$	hex-1-yne	O ₂	4	92
10	$4 - Ac - C_6H_4 - I$	ethynylbenzene		$\overline{4}$	91
11	$4-Ac-C_6H_4-I$	1-ethynylcyclohexanol	С HO	$\overline{4}$	90
12	$4 - Ac - C_6H_4 - I$	hex-1-yne		$\overline{4}$	85
13	2-iodothiophene	ethynylbenzene		5	88
14	2-iodothiophene	1-ethynylcyclohexanol	HC	6	80
15	2-iodothiophene	hex-1-yne		6	82
16	PhBr	ethynylbenzene		24	$39c$)

Table 2. Sonogashira Reaction of Iodoarenes with Terminal Alkynes^a)

^a) Reaction conditions: iodoarene (1 mmol), terminal alkyne (1.2 mmol), 1 mol-% of Pd EnCatTM 30, piperidine (2 mmol), $H_2O/MeCN 1:1$ (4 ml), 40° . b) Yields of isolated material. \circ) GC/MS Yield based on the amount of bromobenzene used.

^a) Reaction conditions: iodoarene (1 mmol), terminal alkyne (1.2 mmol), 1 mol-% of Pd EnCatTM 30, piperidine (2 mmol), H₂O/MeCN 1:1 (4 ml), 40°. b) GC/MS yield based on the amount of iodobenzene used.

 $1-Nitro-4-(2-phenylethynyl)benzene. Pale-yellow power. M.p. 117.5-118.5° ([9b]: 119-120°).$ $1H\text{-NMR}: 8.22 \ (d, J = 8.8, 2 \text{ arom. H}); 7.66 \ (d, J = 8.8, 2 \text{ arom. H}); 7.57 \ (d, J = 9.2, 2 \text{ arom. H}); 7.40 -$ 7.37 (m, 3 arom. H). EI-MS: 223 (100, M^+), 193 (20), 176 (60), 151 (25).

1-[2-(4-Nitrophenyl)ethynyl]cyclohexanol. Pale-yellow powder. M.p. 101.2 - 101.4°. ¹H-NMR: 8.18 $(d, J = 8.0, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 7.57 $(d, J = 8.0, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 2.03 – 1.98 $(m, 2 \text{ H})$; 1.79 – 1.56 $(m, 8 \text{ H})$. EI-MS: 245 $(8, M⁺)$, 228 (35), 202 (100).

 $1-(Hex-1-yn-1-yl)-4-nitrobenzene$. Pale-yellow oil. $H-NMR: 8.12 (d, J = 8.0, 2 \text{ arom. H}); 7.48 (d, J = 1.0)$ 8.0, 2 arom. H); 2.43 (t, $J = 8.0$, CH₂); 1.61 – 1.55 (m, CH₂); 1.49 – 1.43 (m, CH₂); 0.94 (t, $J = 8.0$, Me). EI- $MS: 203 (66, M⁺), 188 (100), 142 (92), 128 (90), 115 (56).$

1-[4-(2-Phenylethynyl)phenyl]ethanone. Colorless needles. M.p. 100.0-100.4° ([4b]: 95-96°). $1H-NMR: 7.92$ (d, $J = 8.0, 2$ arom. H); 7.56 (d, $J = 8.0, 2$ arom. H); 7.55 – 7.52 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.33 – 7.38 (m, 3 arom. H); 2.60 (s, Me). EI-MS: 220 (70, M⁺), 205 (100), 176 (40), 151 (15).

 $1-(4-(2-(1-Hydroxycyclohexyl)ethenyl)phenyl]ethanone. Colorless crystals. M.p. 82–83° ([4b]: 82–17699)$ 83°). ¹H-NMR: 7.90 (d, J = 8.0, 2 arom. H); 7.51 (d, J = 8.0, 2 arom. H); 2.60 (s, Me); 2.07 – 2.00 (m, $3 H$); 1.77 – 1.57 (m, 7 H). EI-MS: 242 (55, M⁺), 199 (100), 171 (41).

 $1 - [4-(Hex-1-yn-1-yl)phenyl]ethanone. Colorless oil. ¹H-NMR: 7.86 (d, J = 8.0, 1 arom. H); 7.82 (d, J = 8.0, 1.86)$ $J = 8.0, 1$ arom. H); 7.65 (d, $J = 8.0, 1$ arom. H); 7.45 (d, $J = 8.0, 1$ arom. H); 2.58 (s, Me); 2.31 (t, $J = 8.0$, CH₂); 1.64 – 1.57 (m, CH₂); 1.53 – 1.40 (m, CH₂); 0.95 (t, $J = 8.0$, CH₂). EI-MS: 200 (27, M⁺), 185 (100), 157 (19), 129 (27), 115 (17).

2-(2-Phenylethynyl)thiophene. Colorless oil. ¹H-NMR: 7.56 – 7.53 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.38 – 7.36 (m, 3 arom. H); 7.31 – 7.30 $(m, 2 \text{ arcm. H})$; 7.03 $(dd, J = 4.0, 8.0, 1 \text{ arcm. H})$. EI-MS: 184 $(100, M^+)$, 152 (10) , 139 (15).

 $1-\frac{1}{2}$ -(2-Thienyl)ethynyl]cyclohexanol. Colorless needles. M.p. 95.5 – 96.6° ([9c]: 97 – 99°). ¹H-NMR: 7.23 (d, $J = 4.0$, 1 arom. H); 7.19 (d, $J = 4.0$, 1 arom. H); 6.96 (dd, $J = 4.0$, 8.0, 1 arom. H); 2.04 – 1.98 (m, 3 H ; 1.77 – 1.58 (*m*, 7 H). EI-MS: 206 (42, M^+), 163 (100), 150 (30), 135 (41), 110 (20).

 $2-(Hex-1-yn-1-yl)$ thiophene. Colorless oil. ¹H-NMR: 7.15 (d, $J=4.0, 1$ arom. H); 7.10 (d, $J=4.0, 1$ arom. H); 6.92 (dd, J = 4.0, 8.0, 1 arom. H); 2.42 (t, J = 8.0, CH₂); 1.61 – 1.52 (m, CH₂); 1.50 – 1.41 (m, CH₂); 0.88 (t, J = 8.0, Me). EI-MS: 164 (64, M⁺), 149 (49), 135 (50), 121 (100).

REFERENCES

[1] a) M. Erdélyi, A. Gogoll, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4165; b) M. V. Skorobogatyi, A. A. Pchelintseva, A. L. Petrunina, I. A. Stepanova, V. L. Andronova, G. A. Galagov, A. D. Malakhov, V. A. Korshun, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 1279; c) D. Rai, M. Johar, T. Manning, B. Agrawal, D. Y. Kunimoto, R. Kumar, J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 7012; d) M. Kozaki, K. Okada, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 485; e) P. Siemsen, R. C. Livingston, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 2632; f) R. Chinchilla, C. Nájera, Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874.

- [2] N. A. Bumagin, L. I. Sukhomlinova, E. V. Luzikova, T. P. Tolstaya, I. P. Beletskaya, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 897; D. Ma, F. Liu, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1934; A. Elangovan, Y.-H. Wang, T.-I. Ho, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1841; D. Yue, T. Yao, R. C. Larock, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 10292.
- [3] C. Glaser, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1869, 2, 422; Q. Liu, D. J. Burton, Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4371; J.-H. Li, Y. Liang, X.-D. Zhang, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 1903.
- [4] a) M. Pal, K. Parasuraman, S. Gupta, K. R. Yeleswarapu, Synlett 2002, 1976; b) A. R. Gholap, K. Venkatesan, R. Pasricha, T. Daniel, R. J. Lahoti, K. V. Srinivasan, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4869; c) J.- H. Kim, D.-H. Lee, B.-H. Jun, Y.-S. Lee, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7079; d) B. Liang, M. Dai, J. Chen, Z. Yang, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 391.
- [5] S. V. Ley, C. Ramarao, R. S. Gordon, A. B. Holmes, A. J. Morrison, I. F. McConvey, I. M. Shirley, S. C. Smith, M. D. Smith, Chem. Commun. 2002, 1134; C. K. Y. Lee, A. B. Holmes, S. V. Ley, I. F. McConvey, B. Al-Duri, G. A. Leeke, R. C. D. Santos, J. P. K. Seville, Chem. Commun. 2005, 2175; S. J. Broadwater, D. T. McQuade, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2131, and ref. cit. therein.
- [6] B. Liang, M. Dai, J. Chen, Z. Yang, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 391; M. Cai, Q. Xu, J. Sha, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2007, 272, 293; J. T. Guan, T. Q. Weng, G.-A. Yu, S. H. Liu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7129; K. W. Anderson, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6173.
- [7] D. A. Pears, S. C. Smith, Aldrichimica Acta 2005, 38, 23.
- [8] T. Mino, Y. Shirae, T. Saito, M. Sakamoto, T. Fujita, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9499; Y. Liang, Y.-X. Xie, J.-H. Li, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 379; S. Y. Shi, Y. H. Zhang, Synlett 2007, 1843.
- [9] a) A. Arcadi, S. Cacchi, F. Marinelli, Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 5121; b) N. S. Nandurkar, B. M. Bhanage, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 3655; c) M. Csékei, Z. Novák, A. Kotschy, Tetrahedron 2007, 64, 975.

Received October 20, 2008